

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARCO GUZMAN,
Petitioner,
v.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00515-HDM-CLB

CALVIN JOHNSON, *et al.*,
Respondents.

10
11 In this habeas corpus action, after a 120-day period following the resolution of
12 the respondents' motion to dismiss, Respondents were due to file an answer by
13 June 24, 2022. See Order entered February 24, 2022 (ECF No. 74).

14 On June 24, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
15 No. 75), requesting a 60-day extension of time, to August 23, 2022. Respondents'
16 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of personal health
17 issues. The petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

18 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
19 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for the extension
20 of time requested.

21 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement
22 of Time (ECF No. 75) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including
23 **August 23, 2022**, to file their answer.

| | |
|----|-----|
| 24 | /// |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | /// |
| 28 | /// |

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 19, 2021 (ECF No. 54) will remain in effect.

DATED THIS 27th day of June, 2022.

Howard D. McKibben

HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE